

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1 PROJECT UNDER REVIEW	1-1
1.2 PURPOSE OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT	1-6
1.2.1 Lead Agency	1-6
1.2.2 Responsible, Trustee, and Other Interested Public Agencies	1-7
1.3 ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW PROCESS	1-8
1.3.1 Notice of Preparation	1-8
1.3.2 Draft EIR.....	1-9
1.3.3 Final EIR.....	1-9
1.4 ORGANIZATION OF THE EIR.....	1-10
1.5 INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE	1-11
1.6 ACRONYMS.....	1-11
1.7 GLOSSARY OF TERMS	1-12
2.0 SUMMARY	2-1
2.1 PROJECT UNDER REVIEW	2-1
2.1.1 Existing Conditions.....	2-1
2.1.2 Project Description.....	2-2
2.2 AREAS OF CONTROVERSY	2-3
2.3 ISSUES TO BE RESOLVED	2-4
2.4 EIR IMPACT EVALUATION FORMAT	2-5
2.5 SUMMARY OF ALTERNATIVES.....	2-5
2.5.1 Description of Alternatives Considered and Rejected	2-5
2.5.2 Evaluation of Feasible Alternatives	2-6
2.6 SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS.....	2-6
2.6.1 Findings of the EIR.....	2-6
2.6.2 Effects Found Not To Be Significant Or Found To Be Less Than Significant.....	2-7
2.6.3 Findings of Impacts That Can Be Mitigated To Less Than Significant Levels	2-7
2.6.3 Findings of Significant Impacts After Mitigation Measures Have Been Implemented	2-8
2.6.5 Findings of Significant Cumulative Impacts	2-8
3.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION.....	3-1
3.1 INTRODUCTION	3-1
3.1.1 Purpose and Need for the Project.....	3-1
3.1.2 Project Objectives	3-1
3.2 REGIONAL SETTING	3-2
3.3 LOCAL SETTING.....	3-2
3.3.1 Surrounding Land Uses.....	3-2
3.4 PROJECT DESCRIPTION.....	3-5

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT EVALUATION.....	4-1
4.1 AESTHETICS AND VISUAL QUALITY	4.1-1
4.1.1 Introduction.....	4.1-1
4.1.2 Environmental Setting	4.1-1
4.1.3 Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	4.1-4
4.2 AIR QUALITY	4.2-1
4.2.1 Introduction.....	4.2-1
4.2.2 Environmental Setting	4.2-1
4.2.3 Applicable Policies, Plans and Regulations.....	4.2-11
4.2.4 Project Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	4.2-12
4.3 BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES	4.3-1
4.3.1 Introduction.....	4.3-1
4.3.2 Environmental Setting	4.3-1
4.3.3 Project Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	4.3-5
4.4 CULTURAL RESOURCES	4.4-1
4.4.1 Introduction.....	4.4-1
4.4.2 Environmental Setting	4.4-1
4.4.3 Project Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	4.4-6
4.5 GEOLOGY AND SOILS	4.5-1
4.5.1 Introduction.....	4.5-1
4.5.2 Environmental Setting	4.5-1
4.5.3 Project Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	4.5-3
4.6 HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	4.6-1
4.6.1 Introduction.....	4.6-1
4.6.2 Environmental Setting	4.6-1
4.6.3 Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	4.6-5
4.7 HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY.....	4.7-1
4.7.1 Introduction.....	4.7-1
4.7.2 Environmental Setting	4.7-1
4.7.3 Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	4.7-6
4.8 NOISE.....	4.8-1
4.8.1 Introduction.....	4.8-1
4.8.2 Environmental Setting	4.8-1
4.8.3 Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	4.8-10
4.9 POPULATION, EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING.....	4.9-1
4.9.1 Introduction.....	4.9-1
4.9.2 Environmental Setting	4.9-1
4.9.3 Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	4.9-5
4.10 PUBLIC SERVICES	4.10-1
4.10.1 Introduction.....	4.10-1
4.10.2 Environmental Setting	4.10-1
4.10.3 Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	4.10-3

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
4.11 TRAFFIC AND CIRCULATION	4.11-1
4.11.1 Introduction.....	4.11-1
4.11.2 Environmental Setting	4.11-1
4.11.3 Impacts and Mitigation Measures	4.11-21
4.12 PUBLIC SERVICES	4.12-1
4.12.1 Introduction.....	4.12-1
4.12.2 Environmental Setting	4.12-1
4.12.3 Impacts and Mitigation Measures	4.12-6
5.0 OTHER CEQA-REQUIRED ANALYSIS	5-1
5.1 INTRODUCTION	5-1
5.2 CUMULATIVE IMPACT ANALYSIS	5-1
5.2.1 Purpose.....	5-1
5.2.2 Standards of Significance	5-2
5.3 GROWTH INDUCING IMPACTS.....	5-9
5.4 SIGNIFICANT IRREVERSIBLE ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGES WHICH WOULD BE CAUSED BY THE PROPOSED PROJECT SHOULD IT BE IMPLEMENTED.....	5-10
6.0 ALTERNATIVES	6-1
6.1 INTRODUCTION	6-1
6.1.1 Project Description.....	6-3
6.1.2 Project Objectives	6-4
6.2 ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED AND REJECTED	6-5
6.3 ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED FOR EVALUATION	6-5
6.4 EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES	6-5
6.4.1 No Project – No Development Alternative.....	6-5
6.4.2 Mixed-Use Residential Development Alternative	6-8
6.4.3 Super Store Costco/Sam’s Club Development Alternative	6-10
6.4.4 Reduced Development Intensity Alternative	6-12
6.5 SUMMARY OF ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS	6-14
7.0 REFERENCES	7-1
7.1 LIST OF PREPARERS.....	7-1
7.2 PERSONS AND ORGANIZATIONS CONSULTED	7-1
7.3 BIBLIOGRAPHY	7-2
8.0 MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM.....	8-1
8.1 INTRODUCTION	8-1
8.2 PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	8-1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

TECHNICAL APPENDICES

Appendix A	Notice of Preparation, Initial Study and Public Comments
Appendix B	Air Quality Assessment
Appendix C	Biological Assessment
Appendix D	Cultural Resources Report
Appendix E	Geotechnical Report
Appendix F	Noise Assessment
Appendix G	Traffic Impact Analysis

LIST OF FIGURES

1-1	Regional Map.....	1-2
1-2	Vicinity Map.....	1-3
1-3	Conceptual Planning Areas.....	1-4
3-1	Regional Setting.....	3-3
3-2	Vicinity Map.....	3-4
3-3	Planning Areas.....	3-6
3-4	Conceptual Planning Areas.....	3-7
4.1-1	Surrounding Land Uses.....	4.1-2
4.2-1	Intersection Locations.....	4.2-10
4.8-1	Noise Measurement Locations.....	4.8-5
4.8-2	Construction Equipment Noise Levels	4.8-13
4.8-3	2020 LA/Ontario Airport noise Contours	4.8-21
4.8-4	Future On-Site CNEL Noise Contours	4.8-24
4.11-1	Existing Average Daily Traffic Volumes	4.11-2
4.11-2	Existing AM Peak Hour Intersection Turning Traffic Volume	4.11-5
4.11-3	Existing PM Peak Hour Intersection Turning Traffic Volume.....	4.11-6
4.11-4	Project Year 2008 Traffic Distribution.....	4.11-11
4.11-5	Project Year 2030 Traffic Distribution.....	4.11-12
4.11-6	Project Opening Year 2008 Average Daily Traffic Volumes.....	4.11-13
4.11-7	Project Year 2030 Average Daily Traffic Volumes	4.11-14
4.11-8	Project Opening Year 2008 Morning Peak Hour Intersection Turning Movement Volumes.....	4.11-15
4.11-9	Project Opening Year 2008 Evening Peak Hour Intersection Turning Movement Volumes.....	4.11-16

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

LIST OF FIGURES

4.11-10	Project Year 2030 Morning Peak Hour Intersection Turning Movement Volumes	4.11-17
4.11-11	Project Year 2030 Evening Peak Hour Intersection Turning Movement Volumes	4.11-18
4.11-12	Congestion Management Program Project Traffic Contribution Test Volumes ...	4.11-19
4.11-13	Opening Year 2008 with Project Morning Peak Hour Intersection Turning Movement Volumes	4.11-26
4.11-14	Opening Year 2008 with Project Evening Peak Hour Intersection Turning Movement Volumes	4.11-27
4.11-15	Year 2030 with Project Morning Peak Hour Intersection Turning Movement Volumes	4.11-30
4.11-16	Year 2008 with Project Evening Peak Hour Intersection Turning Movement Volumes	4.11-31

LIST OF TABLES

1-1	Potential Land Use Concept by Planning Area.....	1-5
1-2	Surrounding Land Uses.....	1-6
2-1	Potential Land Use Concept by Planning Area.....	2-3
2-2	Summary of Mitigation Measures	2-9
3-1	Surrounding Land Uses.....	3-5
3-2	Potential Land Use Concept by Planning Area.....	3-10
3-3	General Design Guidelines for Various Building Uses	3-11
4.2-1	Ambient Air Quality Standards	4.2-4
4.2-2	Air Quality Levels Measured at Ontario/San Bernardino Monitoring Stations	4.2-7
4.2-3	Federal and State Carbon Monoxide Standards.....	4.2-8
4.2-4	Existing Carbon Monoxide Concentrations.....	4.2-9
4.2-5	SCAQMD Regional Pollutant Emission Thresholds of Significance.....	4.2-13
4.2-6	PM _{2.5} Fraction of PM ₁₀ Used to Calculate Construction PM _{2.5} Emissions.....	4.2-17
4.2-7	Worst Case Air Pollutant Emissions During Demolition	4.2-18
4.2-8	Worst Case Grading Emissions	4.2-19
4.2-9	Worst Case Air Pollutant Emissions During Asphalt Paving.....	4.2-20
4.2-10	Modeled 1-Hour Carbon Monoxide Concentrations	4.2-25
4.2-11	Modeled 8-Hour Carbon Monoxide Concentrations	4.2-25
4.2-12	PM _{2.5} Fraction of PM ₁₀ Used to Calculate Operation PM _{2.5} Emissions	4.2-27
4.2-13	Total Project Emissions	4.2-27

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

LIST OF TABLES

4.8-1	City of Ontario Environmental Performance (Noise Ordinance) Standards	4.8-4
4.8-2	Existing Noise Measurements.....	4.8-6
4.8-3	Modeled Existing Roadway Traffic Noise Levels.....	4.8-8
4.8-4	Existing Railroad Noise Levels Impacting Project Site.....	4.8-9
4.8-5	Traffic Noise CNEL Increases.....	4.8-14
4.8-6	Future (2030) With Project Traffic Noise Levels	4.8-17
4.8-7	Future Railroad Noise Levels Impacting Project Site.....	4.8-23
4.9-1	Change in Population Between 1980 and 2000	4.9-2
4.9-2	SCAG Household Growth Projections, 2005-2020	4.9-3
4.9-3	Labor Force and Unemployment Rate for City of Ontario, County of San Bernardino, and State of California	4.9-3
4.9-4	SCAG Employment Projections	4.9-4
4.11-1	Existing Intersection Delay and Level of Service.....	4.11-3
4.11-2	Level of Service Criteria for Signalized Intersections.....	4.11-8
4.11-3	Project Traffic Generation	4.11-9
4.11-4	Opening Year (2008) Without Project Intersection Delay and Level of Service ..	4.11-24
4.11-5	Opening Year (2008) With Project Intersection Delay and Level of Service	4.11-25
4.11-6	Year 2030 Without Project Intersection Delay and Level of Service.....	4.11-28
4.11-7	Year 2030 With Project Intersection Delay and Level of Service.....	4.11-32
4.11-8	Year 2030 CMP Freeway Mainline Morning Peak Hour Operations Analysis.....	4.11-32
4.11-9	Year 2030 CMP Freeway Mainline Evening Peak Hour Operations Analysis	4.11-34
4.11-9	Opening Year (2008) With Project Intersection Delay and Level of Service With Improvements	4.11-35
4.11-10	Year 2030 With Project Intersection Delay and Level of Service With Improvements	4.11-36
4.11-11	Year 2030 CMP Freeway Mainline Peak Hour Operations Analysis With Improvements	4.11-37
5-1	Cumulative Projects Within a Two-Mile Radius of the Proposed Project	5-3
5-2	Cumulative Operational Emissions.....	5-9
6-1	Impact Comparison of Proposed and Alternative Projects	6-2
8-1	Mitigation Monitoring Program.....	8-3