
Final Environmental Impact Report

Volume I



West Haven Specific Plan

SCH NO: 2004071095

Lead Agency:	City of Ontario
Project Applicant:	JMS Turner LLC Centex Homes Stratham Homes, Inc.
Prepared by:	URS Corporation

January 2007

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

Volume I

SCH #2004071095

West Haven Specific Plan

(PSP03-006)

Lead Agency:

City of Ontario
Planning Department
303 East "B" Street
Ontario, California 91764
Contact: Richard C. Ayala
(909) 395-2421

Applicants:

JMS Turner LLC
P.O. Box 10757
Costa Mesa, CA 92672

Centex Homes
South Coast Division
7555 Irvine Center Drive
Irvine, CA 92618

Stratham Homes, Inc
2201 Dupont Drive
Irvine, CA 92612

Prepared by:

URS Corporation
10723 Bell Court
Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730
Contact: Juan Villalobos
(909) 980-4000

January 2007

Screencheck EIR	October 2005
Draft EIR	July 2006
Proposed Final EIR	December 2006
Adopted Certified EIR	January 2007

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

Table of Contents

VOLUME I

<u>SECTION</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
Intended Use of the EIR.....	1
Project Background	1
Project Description.....	3
Project Goals and Objectives	5
Areas of Controversy and Concern.....	6
Summary of Significant Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	7
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1 Purpose and Intent	1-1
1.2 Proposed Project Goals And Objectives	1-1
1.3 Format and Content Of This EIR	1-2
1.4 Discretionary Actions	1-3
1.4.1 Specific Plan Approval	1-3
1.4.2 Development Agreement.....	1-4
1.4.3 Tentative Tract/Parcel Map(s) and/or Development Plan(s)	1-4
1.4.4 Other Agency Permits.....	1-4
2.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION.....	2-1
2.1 Location	2-1
2.2 Site Planning History	2-1
2.3 Project Characteristics.....	2-1
2.3.1 Residential.....	2-5
2.3.2 Neighborhood Commercial Center.....	2-5
2.3.3 Concept Neighborhood Park.....	2-7
2.3.4 Parkway/Paseo and Neighborhood Edge System.....	2-8
2.3.5 Concept Elementary School	2-8
2.3.6 Circulation and Infrastructure	2-8
2.3.7 Landscape Master Plan	2-9
2.3.8 Grading Plan	2-9
2.3.9 Phasing Plan.....	2-9
2.4 Cumulative Projects	2-10
2.5 Proposed Project Alternatives	2-11
2.5.1 Alternative 1 - No Project/Existing NMC GPA.....	2-11
2.5.2 Alternative 2 Reduced Density	2-11
2.5.3 Alternative 3 Increased Open Space w/ Dwelling Units	2-13
2.5.4 Alternative 4 – Proposed Project.....	2-13

3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING AND POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS ...3-1

3.1	Agricultural Resources.....	3-2
3.1.1	Setting.....	3-2
3.1.2	Thresholds of Significance.....	3-4
3.1.3	Impacts.....	3-4
3.1.4	Mitigation Measures.....	3-4
3.1.5	Significant Effects of the Project After Mitigation	3-6
3.2	Air Quality	3-6
3.2.1	Setting.....	3-6
3.2.2	Regulatory Setting	3-7
3.2.3	Thresholds of Significance.....	3-11
3.2.4	Impacts.....	3-13
3.2.5	Mitigation Measures.....	3-20
3.2.6	Significant Effects of the Project After Mitigation	3-22
3.3	Biological Resources.....	3-22
3.3.1	Setting.....	3-22
3.3.2	Thresholds of Significance.....	3-28
3.3.3	Impacts.....	3-29
3.3.4	Mitigation Measures.....	3-29
3.3.5	Significant Effects of the Project After Mitigation	3-30
3.4	Cultural Resources	3-30
3.4.1	Setting.....	3-30
3.4.2	Thresholds of Significance.....	3-33
3.4.3	Impacts.....	3-33
3.4.4	Mitigation Measures.....	3-38
3.4.5	Significant Effects of the Project After Mitigation	3-38
3.5	Geology/Soils.....	3-38
3.5.1	Setting.....	3-38
3.5.2	Thresholds of Significance.....	3-40
3.5.3	Impacts.....	3-40
3.5.4	Mitigation Measures.....	3-42
3.5.4	Significant Effects of the Project After Mitigation	3-43
3.6	Hazards and Hazardous Materials	3-43
3.6.1	Setting.....	3-43
3.6.2	Thresholds of Significance.....	3-45
3.6.3	Impacts.....	3-45
3.6.4	Mitigation Measures.....	3-47
3.6.5	Significant Effects of the Project After Mitigation	3-48
3.7	Hydrology/Water Quality	3-48
3.7.1	Setting.....	3-48
3.7.2	Thresholds of Significance.....	3-49
3.7.3	Impacts.....	3-49
3.7.4	Mitigation Measures.....	3-58
3.7.5	Significant Effects of the Project After Mitigation	3-59
3.8	Recreational Resources.....	3-60
3.8.1	Setting.....	3-60
3.8.2	Thresholds of Significance.....	3-72
3.8.3	Impacts.....	3-72

3.8.4	Mitigation Measures.....	3-75
3.8.5	Significant Effects of the Project After Mitigation	3-75
3.9	Noise	3-75
3.9.1	Setting	3-77
3.9.2	Thresholds of Significance.....	3-82
3.9.3	Impacts.....	3-83
3.9.4	Mitigation Measures.....	3-89
3.9.5	Significant Effects of the Project After Mitigation	3-90
3.10	Public Services	3-90
3.10.1	Setting	3-90
3.10.2	Thresholds of Significance.....	3-93
3.10.3	Impacts.....	3-94
3.10.4	Mitigation Measures.....	3-98
3.10.5	Significant Effects of the Project After Mitigation	3-99
3.11	Transportation/Traffic	3-99
3.11.1	Setting	3-100
3.11.2	Thresholds of Significance.....	3-103
3.11.3	Mitigation Measures.....	3-114
3.11.4	Significant Effects of the Project After Mitigation	3-122
3.12	Utilities/Service Systems	3-125
3.12.1	Setting	3-125
3.12.2	Thresholds of Significance.....	3-128
3.12.3	Impacts.....	3-129
3.12.4	Mitigation Measures.....	3-132
3.12.5	Significant Effects of the Project After Mitigation	3-137
4	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS.....	4-1
4.1	Agricultural Resources.....	4-1
4.2	Air Quality	4-1
4.3	Biological Resources.....	4-2
4.4	Cultural Resources	4-2
4.5	Geology/Soils.....	4-3
4.6	Hazardous Materials	4-3
4.7	Hydrology/Water Quality	4-3
4.8	Recreational Resources.....	4-4
4.9	Noise	4-5
4.10	Public Services	4-5
4.11	Transportation/Traffic	4-5
4.12	Utilities/Service Systems	4-5
5	GROWTH INDUCING, UNAVOIDABLE, AND IRREVERSIBLE IMPACTS.....	5-1
5.1	Growth Inducing Impacts.....	5-1
5.1.1	Removal of an Impediment to Growth	5-1
5.1.2	Economic Expansion or Growth	5-2
5.1.3	Establishment of a Precedent Setting Action.....	5-2
5.1.4	Development or Encroachment on Open Space.....	5-2

5.1.5	Conclusion	5-2
5.2	Unavoidable Impacts	5-2
5.3	Significant Irreversible Environmental Change	5-3
5.3.1	Non-Renewable Resources	5-4
6	ALTERNATIVES	6-1
6.1	Introduction	6-1
6.1.1	Project Objectives	6-1
6.1.2	Alternatives Selected for Further Analysis	6-3
6.2	Alternative 1 No Project/Existing NMC GPA	6-5
6.2.1	Impact Analysis	6-5
6.2.2	Project Comparison	6-8
6.3	Alternative 2 Reduced Density	6-8
6.3.1	Impact Analysis	6-8
6.3.2	Project Comparison	6-11
6.4	Alternative 3 Increased Open Space with Proposed Project Dwelling Units ...	6-12
6.4.1	Impact Analysis	6-12
6.4.2	Project Comparison	6-15
7	SUMMARY OF MITIGATION	7-1
7.1	Agricultural Resources	7-1
7.2	Air Quality	7-1
7.3	Biological Resources	7-3
7.4	Cultural Resources	7-4
7.5	Geology/Soils Resources	7-4
7.6	Hazards/Hazardous Wastes	7-5
7.7	Hydrology/Water Quality	7-7
7.8	Land Use/Planning and Recreational Resources	7-8
7.9	Noise	7-8
7.10	Public Services	7-9
7.11	Transportation/Traffic	7-11
7.12	Utilities/Service Systems	7-16
8	REFERENCES	8-1
9	ORGANIZATIONS AND PERSONS CONSULTED	9-1

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

	<u>List of Figures</u>	<u>Page</u>
ES-1	New Model Colony – Regional Context	ES-4
2-1	Regional Location Map	2-2
2-2	Project Location Map	2-3
2.3-1	Project Land Use Map	2-6
2.3-2	Concept Phasing Schedule	2-12
3.1-1	Status of Williamson Act Contracts in the New Model Colony	3-3
3.3-1	Areas of Initial Agricultural, Industrial, Fields and Windrow	3-24
3.4-1	Previous Surveys and Historic Trail Within One-Mile of Project Area	3-31
3.7-1	Project Area General Topographic Pattern	3-47
3.7-2	Project Area Hydrology Map – Undeveloped Conditions	3-48
3.7-3	Project Area Hydrology Map – Developed Conditions	3-49
3.7-4	Storm Drain System Improvements	3-51
3.8-1	Project Site within the Sphere of Influence	3-58
3.8-2	New Model Colony Subarea Land Use Plan	3-59
3.9-1	Noise Monitoring Locations	3-77
3.9-2	Hourly Noise Levels – LT-1 (2900 East Archibald Ranch Road)	3-78
3.9-3	Hourly Noise Levels – LT-2 (2920 St. Tropez Drive)	3-79
3.11-1	2015 Baseline Configurations	3-103
3.11-2	2015 Future Base Peak Hour Traffic Volumes – AM	3-104
3.11-3	2015 Future Base Peak Hour Traffic Volumes – PM	3-105
3.11-4	2015 Future with Project Peak Hour Traffic Volumes – AM	3-108
3.11-5	2015 Future with Project Peak Hour Traffic Volumes – PM	3-109
3.11-6	Mitigated Lane Configurations	3-120
3.12-1	Water System Improvements	3-130
3.12-2	Sewer System Improvements	3-131
3.12-3	Storm Drain System Improvements	3-132
3.12-4	Reclaimed Water System Improvements	3-133

	<u>List of Tables</u>	<u>Page</u>
ES-1	Land Use Summary	ES-5
ES-2	Summary of Significant Environmental Impacts, Mitigation Measures, and Level of Significance After Mitigation	ES-8
1.4-1	Discretionary and Ministerial Permits	1-3
2.3-1	Project Summary	2-4
2.3-2	Residential Lot Development	2-5
2.3-3	Neighborhood Commercial Center Development Standards	2-7
2.3-4	Surrounding Projects	2-10
3.2-1	Ambient Air Quality Data: Upland Air Monitoring Station	3-8
3.2-2	Ambient Air Quality Standards	3-9
3.2-3	SCAQMD Thresholds of Significance	3-12
3.2-4	Peak Day Exhaust Emissions from Building Construction	3-13
3.2-5	Peak Grading Day Total Emissions	3-14
3.2-6	Emissions from Proposed Land Usage	3-15
3.2-7	Carbon Monoxide Concentrations Future Conditions	3-17
3.3-1	Likelihood of Occurrence for California Natural Diversity Database Species	3-22
3.5-1	Regional Faults and Related Potential Seismic Activity	3-37
3.5-2	Standard Conditions and Uniform Codes Related to Geology/Soils Hazards	3-38
3.9-1	Sound Levels of Typical Noise Sources and Noise Environments	3-75
3.9-2	Short-Term Noise Measurement Data	3-78
3.9-3	Interior/Exterior Noise Level Standards	3-81
3.9-4	Typical Construction Noise Levels by Activity	3-82
3.9-5	Summary of Traffic Noise Modeling Results	3-85
3.10-1	Mountain View School District Enrollment	3-88
3.10-2	Chaffey Joint Union High School District Enrollment	3-89
3.10-3	City of Ontario Fire Protection Services	3-90
3.11.1	Generalized Peak Hourly/Direction Capacity	3-97
3.11.2	Level of Service Descriptions	3-98
3.11.3	Roadway Segment Level of Service Results Existing Conditions	3-99
3.11.4	Peak Hour Intersection Level of Service Results Existing Conditions	3-100
3.11.5	Roadway Segment Level of Service Results Year 2015 Baseline Conditions	3-102
3.11.6	Intersection Level of Service Results Year 2015 Baseline Conditions	3-106
3.11.7	West Haven Specific Planning Area Trip Generation	3-107
3.11.8	Roadway Segment Level of Service Results Year 2015 Baseline Plus Project Conditions	3-110

	<u>List of Tables (cont'd)</u>	<u>Page</u>
3.11.9	Intersection Level of Service Results Year 2015 Baseline Plus Project Conditions	3-111
3.11.10	Intersection Level of Service Results Mitigated – Year 2015 Baseline Plus Project Conditions	3-118
3.11.11	Year 2015 Percent Project Trip Contribution AM Peak Hour	3-118
3.11.12	Year 2015 Percent Project Trip Contribution PM Peak Hour	3-119
3.11.13	Intersection Lane Needs and Mitigation Goals	3-121
6.1-1	Comparison of Project Alternatives	6-4

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

VOLUME II - APPENDICES*Appendices A through [LJ](#)*

- A. Notice of Preparation/Initial Study and Comments
- B. Air Quality Summary Report
- C. Biological Resources and Constraints Supporting Documentation:
 - Observed and Expected Fauna List
 - Focused Surveys for Delhi Sands Flower-Loving Fly Reports
- D. Cultural Resources and Constraints Supporting Documentation:
 - URS Letter Requesting Native American Cultural Concerns
 - Letter Responses from Various Native American Tribes
 - Archaeological Resource Evaluation and Paleontological Records Search
- E. Geology/Soils Supporting Documentation
 - Due Diligence Review of Geotechnical Data
 - Results of a Due-Diligence Geotechnical Study
 - Engineering Geologic and Soils Foundations Investigation
- F. Hazards/Hazardous Wastes Supporting Documentation
 - Phase I Environmental Site Assessment and Limited Site Characterization of Slegers Dairy
 - Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Update at Minaberry Trust Property
 - Phase I Environmental Site Assessment of 35± Acre Minaberry Trust Property
- G. Hydrology/Water Quality Supporting Documentation
 - City of Ontario Water Master Plan
- H. Land Use Supporting Documentation:
 - West Haven Draft Specific Plan
- I. Draft Final Report Traffic Analysis for the West Haven Specific Plan Project
- J. Will Serve Letters
- K. Notice of Completion/Notice of Availability and Draft EIR Comments/Responses
- L. Mitigation Monitoring Report Plan